arXiv:1201.0593v1 [math.OA] 3 Jan 2012

COMPARISON OF COMPLETELY POSITIVE MAPS ON HILBERT C^*-MODULES

MARIA JOIŢA

ABSTRACT. We prove a Radon-Nikodym type theorem for completely positive maps on Hilbert C^*-modules.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The study of completely positive maps is motivated by their applications to quantum information theory, where operator valued completely positive maps on C^*-algebras are used as a mathematical model for quantum operations, and quantum probability. Many problems from quantum information theory involve characterization and comparison of quantum operations. The structure theorems and the Radon-Nikodym type theorems for completely positive maps between C^*-algebras play an important role to characterization and comparison of quantum operations and so to understanding of certain problems from quantum information theory. Stinespring [8, Theorem 1] showed that an operator valued completely positive map φ on a unital C^*-algebra A is of the form $V_\varphi^*\pi_\varphi(\cdot)V_\varphi$, where π_φ is a representation of A on a Hilbert space H_φ and V_φ is a bounded linear operator (for non-unital case, see, for example, [7, Theorem 5.6]). Given two completely positive maps φ and ψ from a C^*-algebra A to $L(H)$, $\psi \leq \varphi$ if $\varphi - \psi$ is a completely positive map from A to $L(H)$. Arveson [2, Theorem 1.4.2] showed that, in the unital case, $\psi \leq \varphi$ if and only if there is a unique positive contraction T in the commutant of $\pi_\varphi(A)$, such that $\psi(\cdot) = V_\varphi^* T\pi_\varphi(\cdot)V_\varphi$ (for non-unital case, see, for example, [5, Theorem 3.5]). This result can be regarded as a Radon-Nicodym type theorem for operator valued completely positive maps on C^*-algebras. Asadi [3] and Bhat, Ramesh and Sumesh [4] provided a construction which looks like Stinespring's construction for a class of maps on Hilbert C^*-modules over unital C^*-algebras, called operator valued completely positive maps on Hilbert C^*-modules. A covariant version of this construction was obtained in [6]. In this paper, we will prove a Radon-Nicodym type theorem for operator valued completely positive maps on Hilbert C^*-modules.

Hilbert C^*-modules are generalizations of Hilbert spaces and C^*-algebras. A Hilbert C^*-module X over a C^*-algebra A (or a Hilbert A-module) is a linear space that is also a right A-module, equipped with an A-valued inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ that is $\mathbb{C}$- and A-linear in the second variable and conjugate linear in the first variable such that X is complete with the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x,x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If the closed bilateral $*$-sided ideal $\langle X,X\rangle$ of A generated by $\{\langle x,y\rangle; x,y \in X\}$ coincides with A, we say that X is full.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08, 46L05.

Key words and phrases. completely positive maps, Hilbert C^*-modules, Stinespring construction.

A representation of X on the Hilbert spaces H and K is a map $\pi_X : X \to L(H,K)$ with the property that there is a $*$-representation π_A of A on H, such that

$$\langle \pi_X(x), \pi_X(y) \rangle = \pi_A(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

for all x and y in X. If X is full, then the underlying $*$-representation π_A of π_X is unique. A representation $\pi_X : X \to L(H,K)$ of X is *non-degenerate* if $[\pi_X(X)H] = K$ and $[\pi_X(X)^*K] = H$ (here, $[Y]$ denotes the closed subspace of a Hilbert space Z generated by the subset $Y \subseteq Z$). Two representations $\pi_X : X \to L(H,K)$ and $\pi_X^{'} : X \to L(H^{'},K^{'})$ are *unitarily equivalent* if there are two unitary operators $U_1 \in L(H,H^{'})$ and $U_2 \in L(K,K^{'})$ such that $U_2\pi_X(x) = \pi_X^{'}(x)U_1$ for all x in X (see, for example, [1]).

A completely positive map on X is a map $\Phi : X \to L(H,K)$ with the property that there is a completely positive map $\varphi : A \to L(H)$, such that

$$\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle = \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

for all x and y in X. If X is full, then the completely positive map φ associated to Φ is unique. If $\Phi : X \to L(H,K)$ is a completely positive map on X, then Φ is linear and continuous.

In [6, Theorem 2.2 (1)], we showed that an operator valued completely positive map Φ on a full Hilbert C^*-module X is of the form $\Phi(\cdot) = W_\Phi^*\pi_\Phi(\cdot)V_\Phi$, where π_Φ is a representation of X on the Hilbert spaces H_Φ and K_Φ, W_Φ is a coisometry from K to K_Φ and V_Φ is a bounded linear operator from H to H_Φ. Quintuple $(\pi_\Phi, H_\Phi, K_\Phi, V_\Phi, W_\Phi)$ is called the Stinespring construction associated to Φ. Moreover, under some conditions this form is unique up to unitary equivalence (see [6, Theorem 2.2 (2)]) in the sense that if (π', H', K', V', W') is another quintuple such that $\Phi(\cdot) = (W')^*\pi'(\cdot)V'$, $[\pi'(X)V'H] = H'$ and $[\pi'(X)^*W'K] = K'$, then there are two unitary operators $U_1 \in L(H_\Phi, H')$ and $U_2 \in L(K_\Phi, K')$ such that, $U_1V_\Phi = V'$, $U_2W_\Phi = W'$ and $U_2\pi_\Phi(x) = \pi'(x)U_1$ for all $x \in X$. In this paper, we introduce an equivalence relation on the collection of all operator valued completely positive maps on a full Hilbert C^*-module X, and we show that the Stinespring constructions associated to equivalent completely positive maps are unitarily equivalent. Also, we introduce a preorder relation on the collection of all operator valued completely positive maps on a full Hilbert C^*-module X, and we prove a Radon-Nicodym type theorem for operator valued completely positive maps on Hilbert C^*-modules (Theorem 2.13).

2. COMPLETELY POSITIVE MAPS

Let X be a full Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra A, let H and K be two Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K)) = \{\Phi : X \to L(H,K); \Phi \text{ is completely positive}\}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let* $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$. *We say that* Φ *is equivalent to* Ψ, *denoted by* $\Phi \backsim \Psi$, *if* $\Phi(x)^*\Phi(x) = \Psi(x)^*\Psi(x)$ *for all* $x \in X$.

Remark 2.2. *The relation defined above is an equivalence relation on* $\mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$.

For $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$, let $[\Phi] = \{\Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K)); \Phi \backsim \Psi\}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$. *Then* $\Phi \backsim \Psi$ *if and only if there is a partial isometry* $V \in L(K)$ *with* $VV^* = p_{[\Phi(X)H]}$ *and* $V^*V = p_{[\Psi(X)H]}$ *such that* $\Phi(x) = V\Psi(x)$ *for all* $x \in X$.

Proof. First, we suppose that $\Phi \backsim \Psi$. Let $(\pi_\Phi, H_\Phi, K_\Phi, V_\Phi, W_\Phi)$ be the Stinesping construction associated to Φ and $(\pi_\Psi, H_\Psi, K_\Psi, V_\Psi, W_\Psi)$ the Stinespring construction associated to Ψ. Since $\Phi(x)^* \Phi(x) = \Psi(x)^* \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $\varphi = \psi$, and by the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [6] and Lemma 1.4.1 in [2], there is a unitary operator $U_1 \in L(H_\Psi, H_\Phi)$ such that $V_\Phi = U_1 V_\Psi$.

From

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi h, \pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi h \rangle &= \left\langle V_\Phi^* \pi_\Phi(x)^* \pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi h, h \right\rangle \\ &= \langle V_\Phi^* \pi_\varphi(\langle x, x \rangle) V_\Phi h, h \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle) h, h \rangle = \langle \psi(\langle x, x \rangle) h, h \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h, \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $h \in H$, and taking into account that $K_\Psi = [\Psi(X) H] = [\pi_\Psi(X) V_\Psi H]$ and $K_\Phi = [\Phi(X) H] = [\pi_\Phi(X) V_\Phi H]$ (see [6, Theorem 2.2]), we deduce that there is a unitary operator $U_2 : K_\Psi \to K_\Phi$, such that

$$U_2(\pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h) = \pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi h$$

for all $h \in H$. Moreover, $U_2 \pi_\Psi(x) = \pi_\Phi(x) U_1$ for all $x \in X$, since

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 \pi_\Psi(x) (\pi_\psi(a) V_\Psi h) &= U_2(\pi_\Psi(xa) V_\Psi h) = \pi_\Phi(xa) V_\Phi h \\ &= \pi_\Phi(x)(\pi_\varphi(a) V_\Phi h) = \pi_\Phi(x) U_1 (\pi_\psi(a) V_\Psi h) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$ and for all $h \in H$, and since $[\pi_\psi(A) V_\Psi H] = H_\Psi$.

Let $V = W_\Phi^* U_2 W_\Psi$. From

$$VV^* = W_\Phi^* U_2 W_\Psi W_\Psi^* U_2^* W_\Phi = p_{K_\Phi} = p_{[\Phi(X)H]}$$

and

$$V^*V = W_\Psi^* U_2^* W_\Phi W_\Phi^* U_2 W_\Psi = p_{K_\Psi} = p_{[\Psi(X)H]},$$

we deduce that V is a partial isometry. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) &= W_\Phi^* \pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi = W_\Phi^* \pi_\Phi(x) U_1 V_\Psi = W_\Phi^* U_2 \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi \\ &= W_\Phi^* U_2 W_\Psi W_\Psi^* \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi = W_\Phi^* U_2 W_\Psi \Psi(x) = V \Psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Conversely, suppose that there is a partial isometry $V \in L(K)$ with $VV^* = p_{[\Phi(X)H]}$ and $V^*V = p_{[\Psi(X)H]}$ such that $\Phi(x) = V\Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $\Phi(x)^* \Phi(x) = \Psi(x)^* V^* V \Psi(x) = \Psi(x)^* \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and so $\Phi \backsim \Psi$. $\square$

Remark 2.4. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$ such that $\Phi \backsim \Psi$. If Φ, Ψ are non-degenerate, then there is a unitary operator $V \in L(K)$, such that $\Phi(x) = V\Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Indeed, if Φ and Ψ are non-degenerate, then $[\Phi(X) H] = [\Psi(X) H] = K$.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$. Then $\Phi \backsim \Psi$ if and only if their Stinespring constructions are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let $(\pi_\Phi, H_\Phi, K_\Phi, V_\Phi, W_\Phi)$ and $(\pi_\Psi, H_\Psi, K_\Psi, V_\Psi, W_\Psi)$ be the Stinesping constructions associated to Φ and Ψ. If U_2 and U_1 are the unitary operators defined in the proof of Proposition 2.3, then: $U_2 \pi_\Psi(x) = \pi_\Phi(x) U_1$ for all $x \in X$; $V_\Phi = U_1 V_\Psi$, and it is not difficult to check that $W_\Phi = U_2 W_\Psi$. Therefore, $(\pi_\Phi, H_\Phi, K_\Phi, V_\Phi, W_\Phi)$ and $(\pi_\Psi, H_\Psi, K_\Psi, V_\Psi, W_\Psi)$ are unitarily equivalent.

Clearly, if the Stinespring constructions associated to Φ and Ψ are unitarily equivalent, then $\Phi(x)^* \Phi(x) = \Psi(x)^* \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and so $\Phi \backsim \Psi$. $\square$

Example 2.6. *It is not difficult to verify that the maps* $\Phi, \Psi : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to L(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^4)$ *defined by*

$$\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{11} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{12} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{21} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{22} \\ \frac{1}{2}a_{11} & \frac{-1}{2}a_{12} \\ \frac{1}{2}a_{21} & \frac{-1}{2}a_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively

$$\Psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{11} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{12} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{21} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_{22} \\ \frac{-1}{2}a_{11} & \frac{1}{2}a_{12} \\ \frac{1}{2}a_{21} & \frac{-1}{2}a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

are completely positive with the same underling map $\varphi : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$ *given by* $\varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \frac{a_{12}}{2} \\ \frac{a_{21}}{2} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. *So* $\Phi \backsim \Psi$. *Moreover,* Φ *and* Ψ *are non-degenerate and*

$$\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Example 2.7. *A simple calculus shows that the maps* $\Phi, \Psi : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to L(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^5)$ *defined by*

$$\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}a_{22} \\ 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}a_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}a_{12} \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively

$$\Psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & -a_{22} \\ a_{11} & a_{22} \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}a_{12} \\ \sqrt{2}a_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

are completely positive with the same underling map $\varphi : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$ *given by* $\varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 3a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, *and* Φ *is degenerate. So* $\Phi \backsim \Psi$, *and*

$$\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Definition 2.8. *Let* $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H, K))$. *We say that* Φ *is dominate by* Ψ, *denoted by* $\Phi \preccurlyeq \Psi$, *if* $\Phi(x)^* \Phi(x) \leq \Psi(x)^* \Psi(x)$ *for all* $x \in X$.

Remark 2.9. *Let* $\Phi, \Psi, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3 \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H, K))$. *Then we have:*

(1) $\Phi \preccurlyeq \Phi$;

(2) *If* $\Phi_1 \preccurlyeq \Phi_2$ *and* $\Phi_2 \preccurlyeq \Phi_3$*, then* $\Phi_1 \preccurlyeq \Phi_3$;
(3) $\Phi \preccurlyeq \Psi$ *and* $\Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi$ *if and only if* $\Phi \backsim \Psi$.

For a representation π_X of X on the Hilbert spaces H and K, $\pi_X(X)' = \{T \oplus S \in L(H \oplus K); \pi_X(x)T = S\pi_X(x)$ and $\pi_X(x)^*S = T\pi_X(x)^*$ for all $x \in X\}$ is a C^*-algebra called the commutant of π_X (see [1, Lemma 4.3]).

Lemma 2.10. *Let* $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$ *and let* $(\pi_\Phi, H_\Phi, K_\Phi, V_\Phi, W_\Phi)$ *be the Stinespring construction associated to* Φ*. If* $T \oplus S \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$ *is a positive element, then the map* $\Phi_{T\oplus S} : X \to L(H,K)$*, defined by* $\Phi_{T\oplus S}(x) = W_\Phi^* \sqrt{S}\pi_\Phi(x)\sqrt{T}V_\Phi$*, is completely positive.*

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{T\oplus S}(x)^* \Phi_{T\oplus S}(y) &= V_\Phi^* \sqrt{T}\pi_\Phi(x)^* \sqrt{S} W_\Phi W_\Phi^* \sqrt{S}\pi_\Phi(y)\sqrt{T}V_\Phi \\
&= V_\Phi^* \sqrt{T}\pi_\Phi(x)^* S \pi_\Phi(y)\sqrt{T}V_\Phi \\
&= V_\Phi^* \sqrt{T}\pi_\Phi(x)^* S\sqrt{S}\pi_\Phi(y)V_\Phi = V_\Phi^* T^2 \pi_\Phi(x)^*\pi_\Phi(y)V_\Phi \\
&= V_\Phi^* T^2 \pi_\varphi(\langle x,y\rangle)V_\Phi \\
&\quad \text{(cf. [2, Theorem 1.4.2])} \\
&= \varphi_{T^2}(\langle x,y\rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. □

Remark 2.11. *Since* π_Φ *is non-degenerate, an element* $T \oplus S \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$ *is unique determined by* T *(see* [1, Note 4.6]*). Thus* $\Phi_{T\oplus S}$ *will be denoted by* Φ_T*.*

Lemma 2.12. *Let* $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$*. Then, we have:*

(1) $\Phi_I = \Phi$;
(2) *If* $T \oplus S \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$ *with* $T \oplus S \geq 0$ *and* $\lambda > 0$*, then* $\Phi_{\lambda T} = \lambda\Phi_T$;
(3) *If* $T_1 \oplus S_1, T_2 \oplus S_2 \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$ *and* $0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2$*, then* $\Phi_{\sqrt{T_1}} \preccurlyeq \Phi_{\sqrt{T_2}}$.

Proof. The statements (1) and (2) are trivial.

(3) If $T_1 \oplus S_1, T_2 \oplus S_2 \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$, then $T_1, T_2 \in \pi_\varphi(A)'$([1, Lemma 4.4]), and by [2, Theorem 1.4.2], $\varphi_{T_1} \leq \varphi_{T_2}$. Thus, we have

$$\Phi_{\sqrt{T_1}}(x)^* \Phi_{\sqrt{T_1}}(x) = \varphi_{T_1}(\langle x,x\rangle) \leq \varphi_{T_2}(\langle x,x\rangle) = \Phi_{\sqrt{T_2}}(x)^* \Phi_{\sqrt{T_2}}(x)$$

for all $x \in X$, and so $\Phi_{\sqrt{T_1}} \preccurlyeq \Phi_{\sqrt{T_2}}$. □

For $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$, let $[0,\Phi] = \{[\Psi]; \Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K)), \Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi\}$ and $[0,I]_\Phi = \{T \oplus S \in \pi_\Phi(X)'; 0 \leq T \oplus S \leq I\}$.

Theorem 2.13. *Let* $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$*. Then the map* $[0,I]_\Phi \ni T\oplus S \to \left[\Phi_{\sqrt{T}}\right] \in [0,\Phi]$ *is bijective.*

Proof. Let $T_1 \oplus S_1$, $T_2 \oplus S_2 \in [0,I]$ such that $\Phi_{\sqrt{T_1}} \backsim \Phi_{\sqrt{T_2}}$, then $\varphi_{T_1} = \varphi_{T_2}$, and by [2, Theorem 1.4.2], $T_1 = T_2$. Therefore, $T_1 \oplus S_1 = T_2 \oplus S_2$, and so the map is injective.

To show that the map is surjective, let $[\Psi] \in [0,\Phi]$. Then $\psi \leq \varphi$, and by the proof of [2, Lemma 1.4.1], there is a bounded linear operator $J_\Phi(\Psi) : H_\Phi \to H_\Psi$, such that

$$J_\Phi(\Psi)(\pi_\varphi(a)V_\Phi h) = \pi_\psi(a)V_\Psi h$$

for all $a \in A$ and for all $h \in H$. Moreover, $\|J_\Phi(\Psi)\| \leq 1$ and $\psi(a) = \varphi_{J_\Phi(\Psi)^* J_\Phi(\Psi)}(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h, \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h\rangle &= \left\langle h, V_\Psi^* \pi_\Psi(x)^* \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle h, V_\Psi^* \pi_\Psi(x)^* W_\Psi W_\Psi^* \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle h, \Psi(x)^* \Psi(x) h\right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle h, \Phi(x)^* \Phi(x) h\right\rangle = \langle \pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi h, \pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi h\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $h \in H$, and since $[\pi_\Psi(X) V_\Psi H] = K_\Psi$, there is a bounded linear operator $I_\Phi(\Psi) : K_\Phi \to K_\Psi$, such that

$$I_\Phi(\Psi)(\pi_\Phi(x) V_\Phi h) = \pi_\Psi(x) V_\Psi h$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $h \in H$, and $\|I_\Phi(\Psi)\| \leq 1$.

Let $x \in X$. From

$$\begin{aligned}(I_\Phi(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x))(\pi_\varphi(a) V_\Phi h) &= I_\Phi(\Psi)(\pi_\Phi(xa) V_\Phi h) = \pi_\Psi(xa) V_\Psi h \\ &= \pi_\Psi(x)(\pi_\psi(a) V_\Psi h) \\ &= \pi_\Psi(x) J_\Phi(\Psi)(\pi_\varphi(a) V_\Phi h)\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$ and for all $h \in H$, and taking into account that $[(\pi_\varphi(A) V_\Phi H)] = H_\Phi$, we deduce that $I_\Phi(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x) = \pi_\Psi(x) J_\Phi(\Psi)$, and from

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\pi_\Psi(x)^* I_\Phi(\Psi)\right)(\pi_\Phi(y) V_\Phi h) &= \pi_\Psi(x)^* \pi_\Psi(y) V_\Psi h = \pi_\psi(\langle x, y\rangle) V_\Psi h \\ &= J_\Phi(\Psi)(\pi_\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) V_\Phi h) \\ &= J_\Phi(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x)^*(\pi_\Phi(y) V_\Phi h)\end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in X$ and for all $h \in H$, and taking into account that $[(\pi_\Phi(X) V_\Phi H)] = K_\Phi$, we deduce that $\pi_\Psi(x)^* I_\Phi(\Psi) = J_\Phi(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x)^*$.

Let $\Delta_\Phi(\Psi) = \Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi) \oplus \Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)$, where $\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi) = J_\Phi(\Psi)^* J_\Phi(\Psi)$ and $\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi) = I_\Phi(\Psi)^* I_\Phi(\Psi)$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x) &= I_\Phi(\Psi)^* I_\Phi(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x) = I_\Phi(\Psi)^* \pi_\Psi(x) J_\Phi(\Psi) \\ &= \pi_\Phi(x) J_\Phi(\Psi)^* J_\Phi(\Psi) = \pi_\Phi(x)\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\Phi(x)^* \Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi) &= \pi_\Phi(x)^* I_\Phi(\Psi)^* I_\Phi(\Psi) = J_\Phi(\Psi)^* \pi_\Psi(x)^* I_\Phi(\Psi) \\ &= J_\Phi(\Psi)^* J_\Phi(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x)^* = \Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)\pi_\Phi(x)^*\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore, $\Delta_\Phi(\Psi) \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$ and $0 \leq \Delta_\Phi(\Psi) \leq I$. Moreover,

$$\Phi_{\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}}(x)^* \Phi_{\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}}(x) = \varphi_{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}(\langle x, x\rangle) = \psi(\langle x, x\rangle) = \Psi(x)^* \Psi(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus, we showed that there is $\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi) \oplus \Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi) \in [0, I]_\Phi$ such that $\Psi \backsim \Phi_{\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}}$, and so the map is surjective. □

The positive linear operator $\Delta_\Phi(\Psi) = \Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi) \oplus \Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)$ will be called *the Radon - Nicodym derivative of* Ψ *with respect to* Φ.

Remark 2.14. *If* $\Delta_\Phi(\Psi) = \Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi) \oplus \Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)$ *is the Radon -Nicodym derivative of* Ψ *with respect to* Φ*, then* $\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)$ *is the Radon - Nicodym derivative of* ψ *with respect to* φ*.*

Remark 2.15. *If $\Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi$, then the Stinespring construction associated to Ψ can be recovered by the Stinespring construction associated to Φ. Indeed, let $(\pi_\Phi, H_\Phi, K_\Phi, V_\Phi, W_\Phi)$ be the Stinespring construction associated to Φ and $\Delta_\Phi(\Psi) = \Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi) \oplus \Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)$ the Radon - Nicodym derivative of Ψ with respect to Φ. Then, the pair $(ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)\,,\, ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi))$ is invariant under π_Φ, and so $p_{ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)} \oplus p_{\ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)}, p_{H_\Phi \ominus ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)} \oplus p_{K_\Phi \ominus \ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)} \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$. Let $p_1 = p_{H_\Phi \ominus ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}$ and $p_2 = p_{K_\Phi \ominus \ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)}$. Then, we have:*

1. $p_1\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}V_\Phi \in L(H, H_\Phi \ominus ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi))$;
2. $p_2\pi_\Phi(\cdot)\,p_1$ *is a representation of X on the Hilbert spaces $H_\Phi \ominus ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)$ and $K_\Phi \ominus \ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)$, since*
$$(p_2\pi_\Phi(x)\,p_1)^*\,p_2\pi_\Phi(y)\,p_1 = p_1\pi_\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle)\,p_1$$
for all $x, y \in X$;
3. $p_2W_\Phi \in L(K, K_\Phi \ominus \ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi))$ *is a coisometry, since*
$$(p_2W_\Phi)(p_2W_\Phi)^* = p_2W_\Phi W_\Phi^* p_2 = p_{K_\Phi \ominus \ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)};$$
4. $$\begin{aligned}\left[p_2\pi_\Phi(X)\,p_1\left(p_1\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}V_\Phi\right)H\right] &= \left[p_2\pi_\Phi(X)\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}V_\Phi H\right]\\ &= \left[p_2\sqrt{\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)}\pi_\Phi(X)\,V_\Phi H\right]\\ &= \left[p_2\sqrt{\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)}K_\Phi\right] = K_\Phi \ominus \ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi);\end{aligned}$$
5. $$\left[p_1\pi_\Phi(X)^*\,p_2\,(p_2W_\Phi)\,K\right] = \left[p_1\pi_\Phi(X)^*\,W_\Phi K\right] = [p_1H_\Phi] = H_\Phi \ominus ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi);$$
6. $$\begin{aligned}\Psi(x) &= \Phi_{\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}}(x) = W_\Phi^*\pi_\Phi(x)\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}V_\Phi\\ &= W_\Phi^* p_2\pi_\Phi(x)\,p_1\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}V_\Phi\\ &= (p_2W_\Phi)^*\,p_2\pi_\Phi(x)\,p_1\left(p_1\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}V_\Phi\right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore,
$$\left(p_2\pi_\Phi(\cdot)\,p_1, H_\Phi \ominus ker\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)\,, K_\Phi \ominus \ker\Delta_{2\Phi}(\Psi)\,, p_1\sqrt{\Delta_{1\Phi}(\Psi)}V_\Phi, p_2W_\Phi\right)$$
is unitarily equivalent to the Stinespring construction associated to Ψ.

A completely positive map Φ on X is *pure* if for any completely positive map Ψ on X with $\Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi$, there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $[\Psi] = [\lambda\Phi]$.

Proposition 2.16. *Let Φ be a non-zero element in $\mathcal{C}(X, L(H, K))$. Then Φ is pure if and only if π_Φ is irreducible.*

Proof. First, we suppose that Φ is pure. Let $T \oplus S \in \pi_\Phi(X)'$ with $0 \leq T \oplus S \leq I$. Then $\Phi_{\sqrt{T}} \preccurlyeq \Phi$, and since Φ is pure, there is a positive number λ such that $\Phi_{\sqrt{T}} \backsim \lambda\Phi$. Since
$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\lambda^2 I}(\langle x, y\rangle) &= \lambda^2\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = \lambda^2\Phi(x)^*\,\Phi(y)\\ &= \Phi_{\sqrt{T}}(x)^*\,\Phi_{\sqrt{T}}(y) = \varphi_T(\langle x, y\rangle)\end{aligned}$$
for all $x, y \in X$, by [2, Theorem 1.4.2], $T = \lambda^2 I$, and then, by [1], $T \oplus S = \lambda^2 I$. Therefore, $\pi_\Phi(X)' = \mathbb{C}I$, and by [1], π_Φ is irreducible.

Conversely, let $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}(X, L(H,K))$ such that $\Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi$. Then, by Theorem 2.13, there is $\lambda^2 I \in \pi_\Phi(X)' = \mathbb{C}I$ with $\lambda > 0$, such that $\Psi \backsim \Phi_{\lambda I} = \lambda\Phi$, and so Φ is pure. □

References

[1] Lj. Arambašić, *Irreducible representations of Hilbert C^*-modules,* Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad., 105A (2005), 1, 11-24.

[2] W. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^*-algebras*, Acta Math., 123 (1969), 141-224.

[3] M.B. Asadi, *Stinespring's theoremforHilbert C^*-modules*, J. Operator Theory 62(2008), 2, 235–238.

[4] B.V. R. Bhat, G. Ramesh and K. Sumesh, *Stinespring's theorem for maps on Hilbert C^*-modules,* Preprint, arXiv: 1001.3743v1, 2010, To appear in J. Operator Theory.

[5] M. Joiţa, *A Radon Nikodym theorem for completely n-positive linear maps on pro-C^*-algebras and its applications,* Publ. Math. Debrecen, 72(2008),1-2, 55-67.

[6] M. Joiţa, *Covariant version of the Stinespring type theorem for Hilbert C^*-modules*, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 9(2011), 4, 803-813.

[7] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules.* A toolkit for operator algebraists. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[8] W. Stinespring, *Positive functions on C^*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **6**(1955), 211-216.

Department of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Bd. Regina Elisabeta nr. 4-12, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `mjoita@fmi.unibuc.ro`

URL: `http://sites.google.com/a/g.unibuc.ro/maria-joita/`